

ER-8-3556/A

JÜL 2 1956

Honorable Francis E. Walter
Chairman
House House Committee on Un-American Activities Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Francis:

I refer to your letter of 8 June 1956, in which you requested that I submit a contribution to a new symposium on Soviet cold war strategy which is being put together by the House Committee on Un-American Activities.

Attached hereto is a statement which I hope will be of some value to you. It covers roughly the same territory as the speech which I made at the University of Pennsylvania Law Review dinner on 4 May 1956. Attached also is a biography, as requested in your

letter.	
With kindest re	egarde.
IG:NSPaul/bic (28 June 56)	Sincerely,
Orig. & 1 - Addressee 2 - Signer W/1cy of Supresses 2 - Legislative Counsel-w/cy of 1 - SA/DCI (Col. Grogan) W/ cy of	in & Rasin
2 - Signer W/1cy of symptom	" SIGNO
2 - Legislative Counsel-Wicy &	
1 - SA/DCI (Col. Grogan) 4/ ay 7	"Allen W. Dulles
1 - Ray Cline	Director
Attachment	

STAT

22 June 1956

THE COMMUNIST ATTACK ON PARLIAMENTARY GOVERNMENT"

BY

ALLEN W. DULLES DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

I have always been impressed at our seeming reluctance to give credence to official statements which are made by political leaders in other countries when we disagree fervently with what they say or when their statements seem at the time to be bombastic or unrealistic.

For example, Hitler's Mein Kampf, written in 1924, had a wide circulation in Germany and left a deep impression on the German people. Over here it received comparatively little attention until after the outbreak of World War II. Yet in this book was the blueprint of the Hitlerian policy of the superiority of the Herrenvolk, of the manifest destiny of the German Reich, of the anti-semitic campaigns, and of the whole trend of Hitlerian.

Similarly, I am afraid we Americans do not pay as much attention as we should to what Communist leaders tell us about

the techniques they intend to adopt to undermine the structure of free government based on the rule of law.

A great deal can be learned from the prenouncements of the 20th Party Congress, held in Moscow in February 1956. This was an extraordinary affair. Over a period of 12 days the Soviet leaders poured out a cascade of verbiage—the length of the speeches corresponding roughly to their respective positions in the present Soviet hierarchy. From Ehrushchev we had an 8-hour speech and roughly 56,000 words, from Bulgamin 4 hours and 27,000 words, from Mikoyan 2 hours and 14,000 words, and so on. The total amounted to some 5 — 600,000 words which the patient party faithful had to endure.

The recent de-Stalinization program has rendered obsolete practically all of the history books and many standard text-books used throughout the Soviet Union. Something has to replace these books and until the new historians can rewrite a proper Soviet history, the speeches of the Party Congress can serve as a textbook.

Possibly we should spend some time on what the Soviet leaders at the Party Congress have just been telling us. Tucked away in all this oratory are the statements of the policy which we may expect to see the present Kremlin leaders follow for the immediate future. They have told us in no uncertain words what they propose to do to us.

While we read in these speeches that war is no longer inevitable, and that some kind of co-existence is possible, it is clear that Soviet objectives remain basically unchanged, but, they say, can be achieved by new methods. For example, this is what Khrushchev said in his speech of 14 February 1956:

"The right wing bourgeois parties and their governments are suffering bankruptcy with increasing frequency. In these circumstances, the working class, by rallying around itself the toiling peasantry, the intelligentsia, all patriotic forces, and resolutely repulsing the opportunist elements who are incapable of giving up the policy of compromise with capitalists and landlords, is in a position to defeat the reactionary forces opposed to the popular interest, to capture a stable majority in the parliaments, and transfer the latter from an instrument of bourgeois demogracy into a genuine instrument of the peoples' will." "In such an event," he adds, "this institution, traditional in many highly developed capitalist countries, may become an organ of genuine democracy-democracy for the working people."

Translated into a little less flamboyant language, this means that the Communists propose to infiltrate our free legislative systems, to take over our parliamentary governments, and to use the freedom which our system of government gives to

1

destroy all vestiges of that system. Though they did not quete it specifically, we can be sure that the Soviet leaders still accept the view announced most vividly in Lenin's own heyday, in the Statutes of the Third Communist International in 1920—that "The Communist Party enters such institutions (as Parliaments) not for the purpose of organization work, but in order to blow up the whole bourgeois machinery and the parliament itself from within."

Speaking in February a few days after Khrushchev, Mikoyan was a bit more precise. He told how the Soviet Government had accomplished these objectives in the past, and cited particularly the revolution in Czechoslovakia. This is how he put it:

"By force of the favorable postwar situation in Czechoslovakia the socialist revolution was carried out by peaceful
means. Communists came into power after having allied themselves
not only with the parties of the working people which were close
to them but also with the bourgeois parties which supported the
common national front. The Czechoslovak people won by way of
a peaceful development of revolution." And, Mikoyan concluded
that, "In their own way, yet also without civil war, the working
class of Bulgaria, Rumania, Hungary, Poland, and other People's
Democracies arrived at the victory of the socialist revolution."

In the light of this clear warning of intent, it may be useful to review briefly some past examples of Communist attempts to subvert free governments.

There is no instance where the Communists have taken over a country by free elections or have obtained a parliamentary majority by free elections. Unfortunately, it is also true that the Communists have moved in without having a majority status.

Past Communist takeovers of free countries have generally featured most, if not all, of these four elements:

- 1. The use of force from outside, or the overhanging threat of force.
- 2. The obtaining by the Communists through popular vote of at least an effective minority position.
- 3. The willingness of other parties, most eften the parties to the left, but in some cases even parties of the extreme right, to join in political alliances and to admit Communists to key positions in the government.
- 4. Communist manipulation of key ministries so that non-Communist elements were driven out of positions of influence.

The best example of this process is, of course, that of Czechoslovakia. Mikoyan pointedly and ominously boasted of this Soviet "feat." Additional variants are found in the cases of Hungary and Peland, Rumania and Bulgaria.

In all of these cases, except for Csecheslovakia, the actual presence of Soviet forces on the spot played a decisive role. In Czechoslovakia some of the same effect was obtained by

10.10

and by the fast that the Soviets had previously occupied Prague and many other important Czech centers and had been able, by their terrorist and infiltration methods, to gain a position of strength which far exceeded the numerical representation in the population at large. In fact, they prepared the way for the coup before they evacuated their troops in 1945.

Beginning in 1945, Moscow exercised heavy pressure on the free Czech Government headed by President Benes. Hoping to be able to work with the Kremlin and anxious to insure the quick withdrawal of Russian troops, Benes went to Moscow in March of that year. He sought agreement on the forming of a coalition Government acceptable to the Seviets which would include some of the pro-Communist emigres who had been collected in Moscow during the war and who flooded back to their home country to play roles preassigned to them by the Kremlin.

When the parliamentary government of President Benes was actually reconstituted, the anti-Communist forces were badly divided among four or more parties. The Communist Party, as usual, presented a monolithic front. Under these conditions, the elections of 1946 gave the Communists 38% of the votes.

Thus they became the largest single party, their leader Gottwald was named Prime Minister, and the Communists were able to take

...

ever certain key Ministries, including Interior, Information and Finance, with a crypto-Communist in charge of Defense.

During all this period, Stalin had cultivated President
Benes and hulled him into a feeling of security as to Mescow's
intentions. Meanwhile the Communists were building up their
control of the Cmech military forces, the trade unions, and the
internal security pelicy. Finally, one of Mescow's principal
"expeditors," Valerian Zorin, new Soviet Ambassador to Bonn,
was sent to Prague, and, the minority Communist Party seized
power in February 1948 without firing a shot.

The principal Cmech anti-Communist leaders either escaped abroad, committed suicide or were eliminated by arrest. Non-Communist parties were liquidated by the armed seizure of their headquarters and newspapers. A purge commission dealt with all so-called unreliable political leaders. President Benes was forced to resign in June 1948, and the Communists took over and ever since have maintained supreme control.

There are many lessons to be learned from this historical precedent. When the Communists obtain an effective minority position in any parliamentary body, it is a sign of danger. If in additions to that, they have important places in the Government and in particular control the Ministries of Defense and Interior, then the danger is greatly augmented and the country in question is ripe for a Communist take-over.

ŵ

272.

The situation in Hungary as the war was coming to a close was, as I suggested above, dominated by the Soviet military occupation. Nonetheless, the Hungarian non-Communist political leaders bravely started out to form a free government and in the first postwar elections in November 1945, the anti-Communist parties had over 300 seats to about 70 for the Communists.

Then the trouble started. The Soviet military authorities proceeded to arrest, to drive from the country or terrify and blackmail the leaders of these non-Communist parties so that in the next elections in 1947, the Communist substantially increased their representation and became the largest single party, although the opposition groups still had a majority. The latter, however, were badly divided and facing the pressure tactics of the Communists supported by the Soviet military, they were reduced to impotence and the Communists took ever. By 1948 most of the anti-Communist leaders were dead, jailed or had fled.

Rakosi, one of the Hungarian renegade artisans of all this terror, still maintains a very precarious held over the Hungarian Government as the stooge of Moscow. Today he is trembling in his boots since as you can well imagine he almost completely symbolized the Stalinist line and the Stalinist techniques, and sooner or later the new anti-Stalinist look may mean his downfall. In February of 1952, however, he was in fine fettle and

described with glee and in the utmost detail the entire history and techniques of the destruction of the free government of Hungary.

I recommend the study of his speech of February 29, 1952 by those who are interested in understanding what Khrushchev and Mikoyan mean today when they tell us that one of their main weapons is to undermine our democratic institutions. Rakosi points out how the presence in the country of the Soviet Army prevented any attempt to defend with force the security of the anti-Communist government and served to protect the Communists from "imperialist intervention."

Meanwhile the Seviet Union, he states, shielded the Communist plotters in Hungary from "diplomatic interference of the great Western Pewers." Rakosi frankly admitted that Soviet interference in Hungary's internal affairs was both "quite frequent and of great help in the strengthening of 'the Communist Party'." He then describes step by step the success of the Communist intrigue and points out that the Smallhelders party, the strengest anti-Communist party, was constantly compelled to expel or discard individuals discredited by Communist blackmail. This gradual day-by-day slicing off of hostile elements; i.e., non-Communist leaders, he described as "Salami" techniques. In other words, he boasted that democracy in Hungary was cut say, piece by piece, just as we slice up a sausage.

M₂

**

These two illustrative examples, Czechoslovakia and Hungary, could be further emphasized by tracing the Communist take-over in Poland, Rumania and Bulgaria. But two examples serve the purpose. It is useful to have the ballots but there are situations, and the Soviet Union is adept in bringing them about, where bullets prevail.

So much for our past experience with Soviet project "Takeover." Does the Kremlin now see fresh opportunities where the
clearly announced program of Messrs. Khrushchev and Bulganin
might now be put into operation?

First, a word on the element of force. There are few places in the world where a free country is so at the mercy of Soviet or Communist Chinese force as the Satellites stood in 1945-47. Thanks to NATO in Europe, to SEATO in the Far East, to the Baghdad Pact in the Middle East, and to individual commitments of the US in other areas, the Free World's umbrella of strength extends almost all the way around the periphery of the Sino-Soviet Bloc. We sometimes think of this great effect, in which the US has played the leading part, as designed only to meet force. But we must never overlook that it also has vital importance in meeting more subtle Communist tactics.

Of course the blunting of the element or threat of force does not do the whole job. It does tend to channel Soviet activities into the struggle for power by other means.

- P

In this struggle, the Communists must see their greatest opportunities where they now have the strongest penetrations

In several countries these penetrations are serious.

into the parliamentary machinery of free governments.

In the Italian parliament of 590 members, there are now 143 Communist members. To these must be added 75 Wenni fellow-travelling Left Wing Socialists or a total of 218, who consistently vote and act with the Communists. Together in the last elections in 1953 their total popular vote was 9.5 mil-lions or 35.5% of the total.

The French Chamber of Deputies presents another situation the Kremlin may be studying. There are today about 150 Communist members in the Chamber out of a present toal of about 600.

In Indonesia, the Communist Party received 6 million votes or 17% of the total electorate in the elections of September 1955 and they have a representation of 39 members or 16% of the total of the Indonesian Assembly.

In some 35 countries of the world, the Communist Party is illegal. Here their rank and file, though seriously large in several cases, must work through underground channels and the more obvious fellow-travelling front organisations, without direct political representation in parliamentary bodies. However,

in such areas as Singapore, Communist-influenced parties have an important position and serve as effective vehicles for Communist activity.

Once established as a party to be reckoned with, the next crucial factor is the ability of Communist Parties to enter into alliances with other parties so as to increase their electoral strength and above all to participate in governments formed by the alliance. The prespects and partners for such alliances—United Fronts or "popular fronts"—vary greatly between countries.

A few years ago I would have thought that Communist Parties in Europe would have great difficulty ever again in obtaining allies among any non-Communist parties. Then the experience of 1939-41 was still vivid when the Communists tried to sabotage the war effort against Hitler up until Moscow itself became involved. Today, however, the danger of parliamentary compromises with the Communists, even in Europe, is not to be ignered.

In Asia, this threat is even greater because it is generally less well understood. A recent Indonesian government permitted Communist influence to reach far into the Ministry of Defense. More than in Europe, the Communist Parties have managed in many countries to acquire a dangerous degree of "respectability" and of acceptance as "just another political party."

On the other hand, despite the relatively solid hasis for action which they have in many countries and the preparations for this campaign which they have been making over the past ten years or more, the Communists face real obstacles.

First of all, they have alerted us to their program. While people werldwide sometimes seem dangerously complacent and even skeptical, it may yet be possible to rouse them to their dangers.

Secondly, the Communists do not have any/knowledged party members in high government positions, of cabinet rank for example, in any of the free countries even there where they have large parliamentary representation. In both France and Italy in the immediate postwar period they did have such representation but were thrown out in the early years following the war.

While undoubtedly in many free countries they have infiltrated sensitive positions, this has been done on a clandestine basis and here and there vigilant steps are being taken to ferret them out. In particular today they do not have positions of control in the Army or in the internal security services of any free country. Naturally they are looking forward to any chance of improving this situation.

All in all, the Communists must, however, see some prospects of success by their so-called "parliamentary means." It is worth-while to note that the Communists have made some very sophisticated

studies of the government structure of free countries. They have learned how to manipulate their parliamentary representation once elected and also how to get elected. Further, they know quite well what types of parliamentary systems are most vulnerable to their type of subversive action.

They endeaver in every way possible to influence the constitutional structure of free countries so as to eliminate a strong Executive. They themselves have collective or ene-man dictatorships, reserving all power in the hands of the few with their Party Congresses represented by hand-picked impotent and powerless stooges. Presumably they judge this to be the most secure form of government, the least subject to outside attack. They consider that the governments which are most vulnerable to their tactics are those at the other extreme, where all power is given to elected representatives, with as little delegation as possible to the Executive.

In connection with the formulation of the French Constitution in 1945, the Communists made a strong attack on the idea of a powerful Executive. They fought to divide up the authority between various elected bodies. In fact, the first draft of the postwar constitution went so far in this direction that the French people repudiated it and a less Communist-ordented constitution was then voted.

A 30

In Italy in 1946 they voted as a bloc to destroy the institution of the monarchy.

When issues of this nature arise one always can tell where Communist influence will be thrown.

Then insofar as the electoral procedures are concerned they abhor anything in the nature of a two-party system and majority rule which by and large has been a bulwark of free institutions. Their effort is to favor a multiplicity of parties. This opens the door to intrigue and helps to build up the minority and weaken the majority.

In general, they like the idea of the voting systems under which even small minorities have a chance of gaining deputies in parliament. In fact, they have often found that the proportional system of voting could serve them as a secret weapon.

In certain free countries where the proportional system prevails, the non-Communist parties have tried to introduce various means of defeating this Communist maneuver. In France, for example, they have the system of electoral alliances—apparentements—and in Italy semewhat the same system has prevailed. Under this system linked tickets of several parties are presented. The French-electoral law of 1951 which is still in effect provides that if the linked parties gather an absolute majority in a given constituency, they gather in all the seats for that district.

de.

In the 1951 French election, this system worked quite well and reduced the Communist representation from 164 seats to 87, whereas under the pure proportional representation the Communist would have had 172. But these tactics do not always work. In the 1956 French elections, fought out under the same system, the failure of the non-Communist parties to join as they had done in 1951 resulted in the Communists obtaining approximately the same proportion of the seats as they would have had under the straight proportional system.

The Communists do not restrict their activities to manipulating electoral laws in their favor or to appealing to groups of minority interests who like the Communists would get nowhere without the propertional system. They also do not hesitate to take strong action to frustrate the will of the voter after the ballot boxes have been closed.

An important case of this kind occurred in Italy in the 1953 elections. Here the non-Communist Italian parties tried to graft onto their proportional system an element of the direct majority type voting system by providing that any party or coalition of parties which achieved more than 50% of the vete would automatically receive a bonus bringing the total seats to at least 65%. The vote in this election was very close and in fact the affiliated anti-Communist Italian parties appeared to

have obtained a scant margin over the 50%. By clever postelection tactics and catching the authorities napping, the
Communists were able to contest and fraudulently throw out a
sufficient number of votes - several hundred thousand - to
reduce the non-Communists just below the 50% mark. They got
away with it. The system for handling challenged votes was
just too archaic to prove they were wrong.

These examples show the skill and advoitness of the Communists in manipulating our free institutions and the mechanisms
of free elections. The Communists hope that people more and
more will come to look at them as just another political party,
a bit to the Left but still of sufficient respectability so as
not to cause any lifting of eyebrows against those who are members of it.

We cannot say, in the light of all this evidence of Communist intent, that we have not been warned. The free world is confronted with the plain fact that a great foreign power with vast reseurces to back a program of subversion and cajelery, proposes to do everything that it can to see to it that free governments shall perish and that dictatorships of the proletariat, allied with Moscow, shall be established throughout the length and breadth of the lands. It is well to recognize the danger. On the other hand there is no ground for panic. As I have suggested, nowhere outside of the USSR, with the qualified exceptions of Czechoslovakia and Guatemala, have the Communists succeeded in subverting a Free Government except with the aid of armed force. This is true for China, North Vietnam, and the other Eastern European Satellites. Moreover, good charts and a knowledge of where the shoals lie are a mariner's best guide. The Kremlin leaders have told us what they propose to do. It is up to the leaders of the free world, working together as allies and friends, to help to uncover and to frustrate this Communist designs which otherwise could threaten to Wreak the free institutions of many countries and even endanger our own.



ER 8-3594

13 June 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Director

SUBJECT:

Statement for House Un-American Activities Committee Symposium

- 1. This memorandum suggests action on the part of the DCI. Such requested action is contained in paragraph 2.
- 2. The attached letter from Chairman Walter requests a statement from the Director for inclusion in a symposium of statements by distinguished Government officials and private citizens on the subject of Soviet strategy and techniques. The second paragraph of Mr. Walter's letter contains the substance of his request. It is recommended that you comply with this request. Although it does not appear in the letter, the Committee would like to have your statement within two weeks. I do not believe we should give too much consideration to the attached outline. The Committee would be quite happy with a shorter statement containing the substance of your recent Pennsylvania Law Reivew speech.

Norman S. Paul
Legislative Counsel

Attachment
Cpy of ltr w/ encl.

EIGHTY-FOURTH CONGR

FRANCIS E. WALTER, PA., CHAIRMAN

MORGAN M. MOULDER, MO.
CLYDE DOYLE, CALIF.
JAMES B. FRAZIER, JR., TENN.
EDWIN E. WILLIS, LA.

HAROLD H. VELDE, ILL. BERNARD W. KEARNEY, N. Y. DONALD L. JACKSON, CALIF. GORDON H. SCHERER, OHIO

RICHARD ARENS, DIRECTOR

60

8-3556

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES
WASHINGTON

June 8, 1956

Mr. Norman Paul Central Intelligence Agency 2430 E Street, N. W. Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Paul:

As Mr. Arens mentioned to you, this Committee is assembling a collection of statements on Soviet techniques of total cold and limited hot warfare in the nuclear age. This symposium will establish the fact that Soviet strategy constitutes a most serious clear and present danger to our national security and to the peace of the free world. Contributions are being asked from university professors, high ranking military personnel, executive officials, labor and business leaders, the press and others.

We hope that Mr. Allen Dulles, Director of your Agency, can update and somewhat shorten the speech which he gave at a dinner of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review on May 4, 1956. Of course if Mr. Dulles prefers to write something entirely different, we shall be glad to receive it.

The enclosed outline will enable you to see how your specific contribution fits into the general picture. Please attach a biography and bibliography to your statement. As an example of what we have in mind, we are sending you a copy of "The Great Pretense."

Sincerely yours,

Francis E. Walter

Chairman

Enclosure

The following outline as well as that taken from Possony's work is merely suggestive. Develop your subject as you see fit.

Soviet Total Cold Warfare

- I. Total cold warfare.
- A. United front movements.
- B. In countries where the united front movement is not practical, infiltration, Communist fronts; intellectual sabotage of national security and defense programs, of education, of communications (press, radio, etc.); subversion, espionage, defeatism, destruction of morale.
- C. Political warfare--conferences (Geneva, Bandung); neutralist propaganda.
- D. Counter offensive techniques: What to do against Communism; to overcome apathy; alternatives to total war other than co-existence.
- II. Specific areas in which total cold warfare is being applied, e.g., Germany, Austria, France, Italy, Greece, United States, Latin America, Africa, India, Southeast Asia, China, Korea.
- Note: In developing an area study, any or all subdivisions of Section I may be pertinent.

The operations of communist parties may be divided into four broad categories: Intelligence, non-military, paramilitary, and military activities.

(a) Intelligence operations:

collection of information
transmission to local collection points
transmission to the political and military command posts of
international communism
dissemination of misinformation and disinformation
deception and double-deception

(b) Non-military operations:

agitation and propaganda
character assassination and building up of individuals
antimilitarism and defeatism
economic warfare, inclusive slowdowns, strikes
political warfare, inclusive elections and diplomacy
policy sabotage
subversion
disintegration of hostile organizations
provocation

(c) Paramilitary operations:

retail sabotage
mass sabotage
individual terror
mass terror
demonstrations
coups de main
riots
guerilla undertakings

(d) Military operations:

auxiliary military missions, for example, scouts, couriers, signalmen disobedience desertion rebellion mutiny breakoff of military units and their incorporation into revolutionary forces attacks by revolutionary forces partisan and irregular warfare (local) independently, or in uprisings (nationwide) support of Red army

In addition to their main functions, communist parties devote great attention to the control of their members and their operations.

In fine, then, just as the navy is the force waging naval warfare, the communist party is the force waging social fission warfare. To phrase it differently: The armed forces wage front warfare, the communist party, rear warfare. Together with the conventional military force, the communist parties are integral parts of the soviet conflict machine, components of a machine responsive to the nature and needs of modern total war, which consists of front and rear warfare. Not only with atomic weapons is this war fought but with military forces and social fission organizations. Modern war is a conflict between political structures and organized societies. The communist party is a machine designed for the release 2006/11 Woich CLARED 20010163 & ROOM 1000200086-5

MEMORANDUM FOR: _ne Director

The attached statement was drafted by

Norman 5. 1 aug.

Legislative Counsel

25 June 1956
(DATE)

STAT

STAT

UNGLASSIFIED ONFIDENTIAL CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP TO ADDRESS INITIALS DATE BIAT ADDRESS INITIALS DATE ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carlet the "by A WD, DC1","	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP OFFICIAL ROUTING		SENDER WILL CHEC	K CLAS	TON TOP		SECRET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP ADDRESS INITIALS DATE PREPARE REPLY RECOMMENDATION INSPATCH RECOMMENDATION CONCURRENCE INFORMATION RETURN SIGNATURE REMARKS: Per our conversation this date. Carly title as on original products the continuous products of original products the continuous products the continuous products the continuous products and original products the continuous products the continuous products and original products the continuous products and original products the continuous products and original products are continuous products and original	OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP O ADDRESS INITIALS DATE 1 NITIALS DATE INITIALS DATE PREPARE REPLY PREPARE REPLY PREPARE REPLY PREPARE REPLY RECOMMENDATION INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Curle: the "but Awd, DC1", "but Awd, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 INCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE		UNGLASSIFIED				
ADDRESS INITIALS DATE ATTON ACTION APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE INFORMATION RECOMMENDATION SIGNATURE REMARKS: Per our conversation this date. Curle: Please The Confidence of arguel of arguel of arguel of arguel	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY ACTION DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Cutle the "lay A WD, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	T	CENTRA	L INTELLIGEN	UE AGENCI	TD	
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY ACTION DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Charles Please The Concurrence plane on original continues.	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY ACTION DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Curle the "Ly A WD, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE		OFFIC	IAL ROUT	ING SL	,11 	
TAT 2 3 4 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Curle: Please Tree or plane on original - Courtes the	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY ACTION DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Cutle the "lay A wD, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE				INI	TIALS	DATE
2 3 4 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carle the	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY ACTION DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Per our conversation this date. Center the "lay AwD, DCI", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	_	- [- 			l l
3 4 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carly: Please Tree Plant Ford: title as on original -	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Cutlet the "by Awd, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	TAT	<u> </u>				
3 4 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carly: Please Tree Plant Ford: title as on original -	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Cutlet the "by Awd, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	_					
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Charle: Please type of plain the content of august -	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY ACTION DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Please The Dond: table as on argued center the "by AWD, DCI"," STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	2					
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Charle: Please type of plain the content of august -	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY ACTION DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Please The Dond: table as on argued center the "by AWD, DCI"," STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	2			ł		
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carly: Please type or plain on original -	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Per our conversation this date. Carly: Please Type of Plann Center the "Lay Awd, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE 18 June 56 LINGLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	3					1
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carly: Please type of plain on original -	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Per our conversation this date. Carolis Please True of Plann From: Name. Address and Phone No. 18 June 56 LINGLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	4					
ACTION APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE DISPATCH FILE RETURN SIGNATURE REMARKS: Per our conversation this date. Carol: Please type or plain contents Carol: Please type or plain Carol: Please type or plain Carol: Please type or plain Carol: Carol: titles The original or original original or original or original origin	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Curle: The property of the property of original contents the property of original property or original property of original property of original property or original property original property or original property or original property or or						
ACTION APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE DISPATCH FILE CONCURRENCE INFORMATION RETURN SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carol: Fleare type of plann Concurrence Carol: title as on arguial Contents	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Card: talleas on arguel Center the "by AWD, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	5	_				
ACTION APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE DISPATCH FILE CONCURRENCE INFORMATION RETURN SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carol: Fleare type of plann Concurrence Carol: title as on arguial Contents	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Card: talleas on arguel Center the "by AWD, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE						
ACTION APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE INFORMATION RETURN SIGNATURE RETURN SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carle the conversation this date.	ACTION APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENT COMMENT CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE REMARKS: Per our conversation this date. Please type of plann Continued: titless on original Center the "lay A WD, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 LINCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	6	,	T	TOLY	PREPARI	REPLY
APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE INFORMATION RETURN SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carol: Please type or plann on original - Content the	APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE RETURN SIGNATURE Per our conversation this date. Please type of plann Signature Please type of plann Center the "lay A WD, DC1", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 LUNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE	<u> </u>	ACTION			RECOMN	MENDATION
COMMENT CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Carol: Please type or plann on arguel - Contenthe	COMMENT CONCURRENCE INFORMATION Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Please type of plain title as on original center the "by AWD, DCI", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 INCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE		APPROVAL				
Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Caroli: Please type on plain bond: title as on original -	Remarks: Per our conversation this date. Curle: Fleare type of plain bond: title as on original - Center the "lay AWD, DCI", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE				TION		
Per our conversation this date. Caroli: Please type on plain bond: tille as on original -	Per our conversation this date. Carol: Please type or plain bond: title as in original center the "by AWD, DCI", STAT FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE		CONCURRENCE	INFORMA			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. 18 June 56 UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE		Per our co	Please	ty fan	e on on o	plam tele as rigual -
	UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE		STAT FOL	D HERE TO RE	TURN TO	SENDER	
	UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL		STAT FOL	ME, ADDRESS A	TURN TO	SENDER	
18 June 56			STAT FOL	ME, ADDRESS AND 18 June 5	TURN TO	SENDER	DATE
18 June 56			FROM: NA	18 June 5	ETURN TO S	SENDER D. TIAL	SECRE